

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,602	06/23/2003	John R. Jackson	FC-10	8940
7590 09/20/2005			. · EXAMINER	
Andrew E. Pierce 161 McCracken Drive			WILKINS III, HARRY D	
Seneca, SC 29		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
		. •	DATE MAILED: 09/20/200	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/601,602	JACKSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
, , ,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bu 	•	received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received					
dec in a alacines detailed emice action for a	not of the contined depice flot	icocived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7 and 30-31, drawn to a (a) permselective membrane and (b) an electrolytic process using the membrane, classified in class 204, subclass 296.
 - II. Claims 8-29, drawn to an electrolytic cell, classified in class 204, subclass252.
 - III. Claims 32 and 33, drawn to an electrolytic process, classified in class 205, subclass 499.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I(a) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the membrane of the electrolytic cell is not required to have a specific alkali metal ion transport efficiency. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in a chlor-alkali cell.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Art Unit: 1742

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the membrane of the electrolytic process is not required to have a specific alkali metal ion transport efficiency. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in a chlor-alkali cell.

- 4. Inventions (III and II) and (I(b) and II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a materially different process, such as the chlor-alkali process.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. With respect to group II, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Firstly, a cell having a low alkali metal ion transport efficiency permselective polymer membrane (claim 8). Secondly, a cell having a hydrophilic, microporous diaphragm (claim 18).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1742

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry D Wilkins, III

Examiner
Art Unit 1742

hdw